Heavy chain single-domain antibodies to detect native human soluble epoxide hydrolase
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Abstract The soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH) is a potential pharmacological target for treating hypertension, vascular inflammation, pain, cancer, and other diseases. However, there is not a simple, inexpensive, and reliable method to estimate levels of active sEH in tissues. Toward developing such an assay, a polyclonal variable domain of heavy chain antibody (VHH) sandwich immunoassay was developed. Ten VHHs, which are highly selective for native human sEH, were isolated from a phage-displayed library. The ten VHHs have no significant cross-reactivity with human microsomal epoxide hydrolase, rat and mouse sEH, and denatured human sEH. There is a high correlation between protein levels of the sEH determined by the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and the catalytic activity of the enzyme in S9 fractions of human tissues (liver, kidney, and lung). The VHH-based ELISA appears to be a new reliable method for monitoring the sEH and may be useful as a diagnostic tool for diseases influenced by sEH. This study also demonstrates the broad utility of VHH in biochemical and pharmacological research.
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Introduction

The soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH; EC 3.3.2.10) is a member of the α/β-hydrolase fold family of enzymes and catalyzes the hydrolysis of an epoxide to its corresponding diol through the catalytic addition of a water molecule [1]. While highly expressed in the liver and kidney, sEH is also expressed in other tissues including vascular endothelium, leukocytes, red blood cells, smooth muscle cells, adipocytes, and the proximal tubule [2–4]. The sEH protein is a homo-dimer with a monomeric unit of 62.5 kDa [5]. Many recent reports have shown that treatment with potent inhibitors of sEH reduces hypertension and inflammatory responses in vitro and in vivo experimental models, suggesting that human sEH is a promising pharmacological target for treatment of cardiovascular and other diseases [6–12]. Thus, measuring sEH levels in tissues may represent an important diagnostic tool.

A variety of activity assays are available for sEH that use liquid chromatography (LC), gas chromatography (GC), liquid chromatography mass spectrometric (LC-MS), radiometric, UV/VIS spectrophotometric, or fluorometric detection [5, 13, 14]. Although these methods are sensitive and accurate, they have limitations. The UV/VIS spectrophotometric and fluorometric assays were designed as high-throughput assays using pure enzyme; they have high background in crude homogenate. The radiometric assays require handling of radioactivity, and the best substrate is not commercially available.
The LC, GC, and LC-MS methods require a significant amount of sample preparation and expensive equipment and are time-consuming. So a rapid method for monitoring levels of the native sEH is still needed particularly in laboratories lacking specialized equipment.

Antibody-based immunoassays are the most commonly used type of diagnostic assay and still are one of the fastest growing technologies for the analysis of biomolecules [15]. Despite playing a very important role in diagnostic assays, monoclonal antibodies (mAb) still have some limitations. Shortcomings include its large size (approximately 150 kDa), relative instability, and difficulty in manufacturing, making them very expensive. The variable domain of heavy chain antibodies (VHVs) are small proteins (15–20 kDa) with similar specificities and affinities as mAbs. VHVs often recognize novel epitopes that are not readily accessible to mAbs because of the larger antigen-binding site of the latter [16–18]. In addition, VHVs display a high stability, high level of expression and can be easily tailored in diverse constructs, making them excellent tools in medical and biotechnological applications [19–24]. Thus, the objective of the work is to develop a VHH-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for native human sEH.

In this paper, we isolated ten VHHs selective for native human sEH and developed a polyclonal antibody VHH sandwich ELISA. In addition, we used magnetic beads in the panning process of VHH isolation as a simple and efficient way to preserve the native structure and antigenicity of this relatively unstable protein.

Materials and methods

Materials

Bovine serum albumin (BSA), thyroglobulin, polyethylene glycol 8000 (PEG 8000), Tween 20, isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), 3, 3′, 5, 5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), and (+)-biotin N-hydroxysuccinimide ester (NHS-biotin) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Helper phage M13KO7 was purchased from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA). Mouse anti-M13 phage mAb-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) was purchased from GE Healthcare (Piscataway, NJ). Anti-HA tag antibody-HRP was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA). Chemically competent TOP10F’ cells were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). The plasmid purification kit, gel purification kit, PCR purification kit, and 6xHis tag purification resins were obtained from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). Electrocompetent ER2738 Escherichia coli cells were purchased from Lucigen Corporation (Middleton, WI). BPER lysis solution, streptavidin magnetic beads, and Zeba Spin desalting columns (MWCO 7 k) were purchased from Thermo Pierce Scientific (Rockford, IL). SfiI was purchased from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA).

The human sEH [25], human microsomal epoxide hydrolase (mEH), mouse sEH, rat sEH, rabbit polyclonal antihuman sEH antibody, and sEH null mice liver cytosol were prepared in this laboratory. The purified human sEH was boiled for 5 min to obtain the denatured human sEH.

Library construction

Blood was drawn from a 3-year-old llama that had been immunized with six injections of human sEH (500 μg/dose) in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant. A VHH phage-displayed library was built as previously described [26]. Briefly, about 10⁸ lymphocytes were obtained from 150 mL of blood using Histopaque 1077 (Sigma) gradients. The RNA was extracted and retrotranscribed using the primer oligo (dT). The cDNA was then used as template for PCR amplification of the VH and VHN genes using the forward primers VH1, VH3, and VH4 and the reverse primer JH (Table S1 in Supporting Information) [27]. The amplified DNA was digested with SfiI and cloned into pComb3X, a kind gift from Dr. Carlos Barbas (The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, USA). The ligated vector was electroporated into highly competent ER2738 E. coli cells. The cells were cultured, and the phagemid-borne phage library displaying the VH/VHH repertoire was rescued by superinfection with helper phage M13KO7 (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden).

Preparation of magnetic beads for VHH selection

A 50 μL aliquot of NHS-biotin (32 μM) in phosphate buffer (PB; 0.01 mol/L phosphate, pH 7.4) was added to 50 μL human sEH (32 μM) in PB and stirred at 4 °C for 4 h. After the reaction, the solution was purified using Zeba Spin desalting columns (molecular weight cutoff (MWCO), 7000; Pierce) to remove excess NHS-biotin. The biotinylated human sEH (biotin-HsEH, 16 μM) was stored at 4 °C.

Streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (100 μL) were added to 1 mL PB containing 2 % BSA (PBB), then mixed and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 s. The pellet was washed twice with 1 mL PBB. The beads were resuspended in 100 μL of PBB. The streptavidin magnetic beads (50 μL) were then mixed with the biotin-HsEH (50 μL) and incubated for 10–15 min at 4 °C. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 s at 4 °C. The pellet was washed three times with 500 μL of PBB. The beads were resuspended in 50 μL PBB and stored at 4 °C.

Selection of phage anti-human sEH–VHH clones

For each sample, one well of a microtiter plate (Nunc MaxiSorp) was coated with 300 μL of 2 % BSA in PB
overnight at 4 °C. To avoid the selection of VHHs with affinity to streptavidin magnetic particles, the phage were pre-incubated with streptavidin magnetic beads in microtiter plate wells coated with BSA to remove the phages that bind to streptavidin magnetic particles and/or BSA. The phage VHH library (100 μL, 10^13 c.f.u/mL) and prewashed beads (10 μL) were added into the well, and the plate was incubated for 2 h at 4 °C with gentle shaking. The plate was placed on the magnetic particle separator and allowed to stand for 15–30 s. The supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5-mL microfuge tube. For method A, biotin-HsEH (10 μL, 16 μM) was added to the tube containing phage-displayed VHH and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C. The prewashed streptavidin magnetic beads (10 μL) were added to the tube and incubated for 15 min at 4 °C. For method B, biotin-HsEH pre-incubated with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (10 μL, 16 μM) were added to the tube containing phage-displayed VHH and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C (see Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM) Fig. S1). The tube was then placed on the magnetic particle separator for 15–30 s. The supernatant was removed. The pellet was washed with 300 μL of PBB five times.

The bound phages were eluted by the addition of 100 μL of glycine-HCl buffer (pH 2.2) with shaking for 10 min at room temperature. The tube was placed on the magnetic particle separator for 15–30 s. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube containing 2.5 μL of Tris base buffer (1 M). E. coli ER2738 were infected with the eluted phages and titered on LB-ampicillin (amp) agar plates (recorded as “output” titers), and 100 μL of eluted phages were used for reamplification. The reamplified phages were titered again on LB-amp agar plates (recorded as “input” titers), and 100 μL of the amplified phages was employed again on the next round of panning. For the second, third, and fourth rounds, the same procedure was used, except the concentrations of the human sEH were gradually decreased. After the final round of panning, individual clones were screened to identify positive clones by performing a phage ELISA; BSA and skim milk were used as negative controls.

**Expression and purification of anti-human sEH–VHHs**

From the agar plate containing the fourth elution output titer, 16 individual clones from method A and method B were randomly selected and grown individually in cultures overnight. Duplicates of 2 mL cultures were prepared for each clone: one for plasmid extraction and another for phage–pIII protein extraction. Both cultures for each clone were spun down at 3000g for 10 min. For the plasmid extracted cultures, the Qiagen Mini Prep kit was employed and the sequences were submitted to the UC Davis DNA Sequencing Facility. For the protein extraction, the bacterial protein extraction reagent kit (BPER) was employed and the obtained protein was further characterized by ELISA.

The cloned plasmids pComb3X, containing the anti-human sEH VHHs, were transformed by heat shock into Top10F' cells. The expression and purification of VHHs was the same as previously described [28, 29].

**Development of sandwich ELISA method for human sEH**

The method used was previously reported [28]. Briefly, each well of a microtiter plate was coated with 100 μL of rabbit polyclonal anti-human sEH antibody [30] (4.5 μg/mL) in PBS saline (PBS; 0.01 mol/L phosphate, 0.137 mol/L NaCl, 3 mmol/L KCl, pH 7.4) at 4 °C overnight and then blocked with 3 % skim milk. The plate was washed three times with PBS–Tween (PBST; 0.05 % Tween) and human sEH diluted serially (50 μL/well, in PBS) was added. That was followed by the addition of VHH (50 μL, 2 μg/mL) and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The plate was washed again and 100 μL of anti-HA tag antibody-HRP (diluted at 1:5000 with PBS) was added and incubated for another 1 h at room temperature followed by another wash step. One hundred microliters of TMB solution (400 μL of 0.6 % TMB and 100 μL of 1 % H2O2 in 25 mL citrate buffer, pH 5.5) was added and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Finally, the reaction was stopped by the addition of 50 μL of 2 M H2SO4 and the plate was read on an ELISA plate reader at 450 nm (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). The description of the color formation and chemistry of the reaction is explained in ESM Fig. S2.

**Cross-reactivity of VHH**

The selectivity of the VHH ELISA was evaluated by determining the cross-reactivity with human mEH, rat sEH, mouse sEH, and denatured human sEH. A 100 μL solution of rabbit polyclonal anti-human sEH antibody in PBS (4.5 μg/mL) was coated on a 96-well microtiter plate at 4 °C overnight. After washing and blocking, 50 μL of human mEH (5 μg/mL), rat sEH (3 μg/mL), mouse sEH (6 μg/mL), denatured human sEH (5 μg/mL), or native human sEH (5 μg/mL) in PBS were added, followed by the addition of 50 μL of VHH (2 μg/mL) in PBS. The anti-HA tag-HRP was added, and the development of the color was the same as described above. All analyses were repeated three times.

**Matrix effects**

The sEH null mice liver cytosol [31] was used to evaluate the matrix effect in a tissue sample. The cytosol was spiked with 100, 200, 300, and 500 ng/mL native and denatured human sEH, respectively.

Our laboratory studies show the impact of inhibition of sEH by chemical modulators. We wanted to determine if the VHH could detect the interaction of sEH inhibitor with the
native protein. To the native human sEH (100, 200, 300, and 500 ng/mL in PBS), sEH inhibitor 1728 (1 μM) was added [32, 33]. VHH B3 was used to analyze the samples. All the samples were directly analyzed without dilution.

**Human sample analysis**

VHH B3 was selected to analyze the human samples. The sandwich ELISA was performed as described above. S9 fractions of pooled (4–50 persons) human tissue samples (Xenotech LLC, Lenexa, KS) were diluted in PBS to the appropriate concentration.

**Effect of VHHs on human sEH**

Human sEH has two distinct enzyme activities, epoxide hydrolase (Cterm-EH) and phosphatase (Nterm-phos). The effect of VHHs on epoxide hydrolase activity and phosphatase activity in vitro was evaluated by using the method described previously [1, 34]. For the epoxide hydrolase activity, cyano-(2-methoxynaphthalen-6-yl)-methyl trans-(3-phenyl-oxyran-2-yl)-methyl carbonate (CMNPC; 5 μM) was used as the substrate; the concentration of human sEH was 1 nM. For the phosphatase activity, AttoPhos (25 μM) was used as the substrate; the concentration of human sEH was 2 nM, and each clone was evaluated for its ability to inhibit the epoxide hydrolase or phosphate catalytic activity, respectively.

**Results and discussion**

**Selection of anti-human sEH phage-displayed VHHs**

Bio-panning for proteins or small molecules from libraries of phage-displayed VHHs library is commonly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Summary of the panning conditions, input and output titer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panning</td>
<td>Concentration of sEH (μM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Input (pfu/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phage input and output number: Ten microliters of the phage input or output was diluted in sterile LB medium from each panning cycle and mixed with 90 μL of E. coli ER2738 cells with OD<sub>600</sub>=0.5–1. The mixture was incubated for 15 min at 37 °C without shaking and then plated on a LB plate with carbenicillin (100 μg/mL). The number of colonies was counted the next day.

Human sEH has two distinct enzyme activities, epoxide hydrolase (Cterm-EH) and phosphatase (Nterm-phos). The effect of VHHs on epoxide hydrolase activity and phosphatase activity in vitro was evaluated by using the method described previously [1, 34]. For the epoxide hydrolase activity, cyano-(2-methoxynaphthalen-6-yl)-methyl trans-(3-phenyl-oxyran-2-yl)-methyl carbonate (CMNPC; 5 μM) was used as the substrate; the concentration of human sEH was 1 nM. For the phosphatase activity, AttoPhos (25 μM) was used as the substrate; the concentration of human sEH was 2 nM, and each clone was evaluated for its ability to inhibit the epoxide hydrolase or phosphate catalytic activity, respectively.

**Results and discussion**

**Selection of anti-human sEH phage-displayed VHHs**

Bio-panning for proteins or small molecules from libraries of phage-displayed VHHs library is commonly
done in 96-well microtiter plates [28, 29, 35, 36]. The targeted antigen is generally immobilized on the plate by physical adsorption to select potent phage-displayed VHHs. It works very well for stable proteins and small analytes. However, 90% of monoclonal and 75% of polyclonal antibodies are denatured by physical adsorption [37, 38]. To avoid the denaturation of the human sEH on a plastic plate by physical adsorption, the library was screened using biotinylated human sEH and streptavidin-coated magnetic beads. This method minimized the denaturation of the human sEH and sufficiently exposed the epitopes of human sEH on magnetic beads in solution. The molar ratio of human sEH and NHS-biotin was 1:1. Compared to untreated enzyme, the human sEH did not lose any activity after biotinylation. Two methods were used in parallel for the selection of VHHs. In method A, the free biotinylated human sEH was first mixed with the phage-displayed VHHs, and then, the streptavidin-coated magnetic beads were added to capture the sEH–VHH complexes. In method B, the biotinylated human sEH was first mixed with streptavidin-coated magnetic beads; the mixture was then added to the phage-displayed VHHs. Overall, both methods performed similarly (Table 1). In total, three unique sequences (A1, A6, and A9) were obtained from method A, and seven unique sequences (B1, B3, B4, B6, B7, B13, and B15) were obtained from method B (Fig. 1). It is interesting that both methods yielded different sets of sequences. In theory, both approaches should yield similar results. Because of the large size of sEH (130 kDa), one would expect many possible epitopes and thus many VHHs with varying affinities for sEH in the library. However, neither method was able to select out all of them, suggesting that utilizing different screening approaches can be important.

Table 2 Performance of 10 VHHs in the sandwich ELISA assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VHH clones</th>
<th>SC_{50}(^a) (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Linear range (ng/mL)</th>
<th>VHH clones</th>
<th>SC_{50}(^a) (ng/mL)</th>
<th>Linear range (ng/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>86–700</td>
<td>B4</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>123–1121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>151–1750</td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>1481</td>
<td>292–7514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>104–688</td>
<td>B7</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>113–1127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>108–1084</td>
<td>B13</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>141–1515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>74–684</td>
<td>B15</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>100–321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sandwich ELISA conditions: rabbit polyclonal anti-human sEH antibody (4.5 μg/mL) as capture antibody, VHHs (2 μg/mL) as detector antibody, and anti-HA tag-HRP (1:5000) as secondary antibody. Error bars are standard deviations of the mean of three well replicates.

\(^a\) The 50% saturation of the signal (SC_{50})
Development of the sandwich ELISA method to quantify human sEH

A sandwich ELISA requires two epitope-specific antibodies for the analyte, the capture antibody and the detection antibody. Since the polyclonal antibody detects both the native and the denatured sEH of multiple species (human, mouse, rat, and horse), it was used as the capture antibody to detect the native human sEH. The VHH was used as the detection antibody. The format of the sandwich ELISA is shown in Fig. 2. Native human sEH was used in a standard curve with concentrations from 15.6 to 1000 ng/mL. Among the 10 VHHs, B3 VHH was observed to detect the lowest amount of human sEH compared to the other clones (Table 2). Hence, it was used in further studies to analyze the human sEH in various samples. To our knowledge, there are no other antibodies for ELISA of native sEH. The existing polyclonal antibody is mostly used for Western blot analysis and was recently reported to have a limit of detection around 10 ng of purified human sEH loaded into a gel [39]. With a theoretical loading volume of 50 μL, and a detection limit of 15.6 ng/mL, our developed sandwich ELISA should be able to detect down to 0.8 ng of human sEH, suggesting a greater sensitivity than available polyclonal antibodies against the human sEH.

Cross-reactivity

The resulting sandwich ELISA was tested against human mEH, rat sEH, mouse sEH, and denatured human sEH (Fig. 3). None of the VHHs showed cross-reactivity with human mEH, mouse sEH, and denatured human sEH, even at high concentrations. The VHH A1 and B7 displayed a small amount of cross-reactivity with rat sEH at 3 μg/mL. The cross-reactivity result indicated the specificity of the VHHs in the sandwich ELISA for native human sEH.
Matrix effect

Matrix effects, inevitable in sample analysis, may affect the performance of the assay or cause some false positive results. To study matrix effects, sEH null mice liver cytosol was spiked with native and denatured human sEH. The cytosol samples were directly analyzed without dilution. The developed sandwich ELISA accurately detected native sEH and did not detect the denatured sEH (Fig. 4), similarly to the cross-reactivity study (Fig. 3). The results suggest that the developed sandwich ELISA is a robust and convenient method to detect native human sEH in tissue extracts with no or little matrix effect.

The effect of sEH inhibitor on the assay was also evaluated. When the native human sEH in PBS buffer was added to sEH inhibitor (1728, 1 μM), the sEH concentration detected by the sandwich ELISA was lower than without inhibitor (Fig. 4). This suggested that the inhibitor influenced the interaction between antibody and native human sEH. The method can distinguish native from the native-inhibited sEH enzyme.

Since the VHHS do not recognize denatured and inhibited enzyme, it suggests that they are recognizing the three-dimensional folding of the enzyme. In this study, we used the native human sEH as the immunogen to generate and screen the library. None of the VHHS generated recognized the denatured enzyme, only the VHHS against the native human sEH were identified. However, if one uses denatured sEH as immunogen or perhaps as the selection protein along with the native form, other VHHS that recognize the primary structure of the human sEH might be found.

Analysis of tissue samples

To study the utility of the sandwich ELISA, the concentration of sEH was determined with the sandwich ELISA in human tissue S9 samples (liver, kidney, and lung) (Table 3) and compared with the enzyme activity and Western blot method [39].

### Table 3 The concentration of sEH in the S9 fraction of pooled (4–50 persons) human tissues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human tissues</th>
<th>sEH (nM)</th>
<th>Estimated from activitya</th>
<th>Estimated from Western blota</th>
<th>Estimated from ELISA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>420±89</td>
<td>500±150</td>
<td>386±48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>44±3</td>
<td>80±15</td>
<td>44.4±1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung (NS)</td>
<td>3.0±0.3</td>
<td>22±6</td>
<td>6.1±0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung (S)</td>
<td>2.8±0.3</td>
<td>21±4</td>
<td>5.9±0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations estimated from the specific activity using [3H]-tDPPO as substrate ([S]final=50 μM), by Western blot and sandwich ELISA using recombinant purified human sEH as a standard. Results are average±SD (n=3)

NS nonsmoker, S smoker

*a Data from reference [39]

### Table 4 Effect VHH on human sEH enzymatic activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VHH clones</th>
<th>Concentration of VHH (μM)</th>
<th>Remaining activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EH activity (%)</td>
<td>Phosphatase activity (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>66.4±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>87.6±2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>94.7±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>93.6±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>95.4±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>95.4±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>96.6±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>83.2±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>85.4±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B15</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>81.5±3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EH activity: Human sEH=1 nM, CMNPC as substrate=5 μM. Phosphatase activity: Human sEH=2 nM, AttoPhos as substrate [S]=25 μM. Results are the average and standard deviation of triplicate determinations.
There are no significant variations between the enzyme activity and sandwich ELISA method. The Western blot method showed a higher concentration of human sEH than the other methods because it could detect both denatured and native sEH in the samples. The good correlations between enzyme activity and the sandwich ELISA indicated the utility of the developed method.

**Effect of VHHS on human sEH**

The sEH protein in mammals is a 125-kD dimer composed of two identical 62-kDa monomers arranged in an antiparallel fashion [40–42]. The N- and C-terminal regions of sEH are separated by a proline-rich linker [43]. The C-terminal contains the EH activity, and the smaller N-terminal is a phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.76) [44]. In addition, it was shown that only the sEH dimer is active [45]. Inhibition of sEH activity by either pharmacological tools or gene deletion has been shown to be protective in multiple models of cardiovascular diseases, thus identifying sEH as a valuable therapeutic target [46, 47].

The effect of VHHS on the EH activity and phosphatase activity has been studied using the CMNPC and AttoPhos as substrates, respectively (Table 4). Clone A1 decreased the EH activity. Clone B3 increased the EH activity. Clone A6 decreased the phosphatase activity. Clone B13 and B15 increased the phosphatase activity. The other VHHS had little effect on either the EH or phosphatase activity. None of the clones appeared to have a significant effect on catalytic activity large enough to be of biochemical utility, but they show the possibility of allosteric regulation of both catalytic activities of the sEH. It may be useful to study pathological changes of human sEH in various human diseases.

**Conclusions**

In the present study, ten VHHS highly selective for the native human sEH were obtained using magnetic beads for selection. The use of magnetic beads offers an efficient method for panning the phage-displayed VHHS to obtain antibodies for the native protein. A polyclonal VHH-based sandwich ELISA has been developed for native human sEH. There is a high correlation between protein levels of the sEH determined by the ELISA and the catalytic activity of the enzyme in S9 fractions of human tissues (liver, kidney, and lung). The VHH-based ELISA appears to be a new reliable method for monitoring the sEH and may be used as a diagnostic tool for diseases influenced by sEH. In addition, the VHHS are a potential tool to study the structure and function of human sEH.
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**Fig. S1** Schematic illustration of selection methods A and B. For Method A, biotin-HsEH (10 μL, 16 μM) was incubated with phage displaying VHH for 1 h at 4 °C. Then prewashed streptavidin magnetic beads (10 μL) were added and incubated for 15 min at 4 °C. For Method B, biotin-HsEH preincubated with streptavidin coated magnetic beads (10 μL, 16 μM) were added to the tube containing phage displaying VHH and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C. The tube was then placed on the magnetic particle separator for 15-30 seconds. The supernatant was removed. The pellet was washed with 300 μL of PBB five times.
**Fig. S2** The chemistry reaction of the color development. 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) acts as a hydrogen donor for the reduction of hydrogen peroxide to water by horseradish peroxidase (HRP). The resulting diimine is blue in color. Addition of sulfuric acid turns the color to yellow and is read at 450 nm.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VH1</td>
<td>CATGCCATGACTCGCGGCCCAAGCCTGCTGCGGCAATGGCCCAAGGCTGACGCTGGTGCACTGGCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VH3</td>
<td>CATGCCATGACTCGCGGCCCAAGCCTGCTGCGGCAATGGCCCAAGGCTGACGCTGGTGCACTGGCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VH4</td>
<td>CATGCCATGACTCGCGGCCCAAGCCTGCTGCGGCAATGGCCCAAGGCTGACGCTGGTGCACTGGCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH</td>
<td>CCACGATTCTTGGCCCTGGCTGGCTGGAGAGACRGTGACCTGGGTCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SfiI* restriction sites are in italic and underlined. Forward primers VH1, VH3 and VH4, Reverse primer JH